АВТОРЛАР ТУРАЛЫ:

Сакенова Динара Муратовна, Высшая школа экономики, Астанинский международный университет, специальность «Промышленная экономика». Магистр экономических наук, аспирант 2-го года обучения, E-mail: Din4ik_93@mail.ru, https://orcid.org/0009-0006-0474-720X

Жаныс Арай Бошанкызы,кандидат педагогических наук, доцент кафедры «Высшая математика», Российский государственный аграрный университет – МСХА имени К.А. Тимирязева, г. Москва, Россия. E-mail: zhanys@rgau-msha.ru. ORCID: 0000-0001-9242-414X.

Шамуратова Назгуль Балабаевна, кандидат экономических наук, доцент кафедры «Финансы, учет и управление». Кокшетауский университет имени А. Мырзахметова, г. Кокшетау, naza_1@mail.ru, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8566-0758

Сатанбеков Нурлан Адыльханович, магистр экономики, старший преподаватель кафедры финансов, бухгалтерского учета и управления Кокшетауского университета им. А. Мырзахметова, Кокшетау, Email - nurlan1912@mail.ru, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3355-4849

Сакенова Динара Мұратқызы, Астана Халықаралық университеті Экономика жоғары мектебі, мамандығы "өнеркәсіп экономикасы". Экономика ғылымдарының магистрі, 2 курс докторанты, E-mail: Din4ik_93@mail.ru, https://orcid.org/0009-0006-0474-720X

Жаныс Арай Бошанқызы – педагогика ғылымдарының кандидаты, доцент, Ресей мемлекеттік аграрлық университеті – Мәскеу Тимирязев атындағы ауыл шаруашылығы академиясы, Мәскеу, Ресей. Электрондық пошта: zhanys@rgau-msha.ru. ORCID: 0000-0001-9242-414X.

Назгүл Балабайқызы Шамұратова, экономика ғылымдарының докторы, қаржы, есеп және менеджмент кафедрасының доценті, А. Мырзахметов атындағы Көкшетау университеті, Көкшетау, naza_1@mail.ru, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8566-0758

Сатанбеков Нурлан Адылханович, экономика ғылымдарының магистрі, қаржы, есеп және басқару кафедрасының аға оқытушысы, А. Мырзахметов атындағы Көкшетау университеті, Көкшетау, Email - nurlan1912@mail.ru, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3355-4849

Dinara Muratovna Sakenova, Higher School of Economics, Astana International University, majoring in Industrial Economics. Master of Economics, second-year postgraduate student. E-mail: Din4ik_93@mail.ru, https://orcid.org/0009-0006-0474-720X

Zhanys Aray Boshankyzy – Candidate of Pedagogical Sciences, Associate Professor, Department of Higher Mathematics, Russian State Agrarian University – Moscow Timiryazev Agricultural Academy, Moscow, Russia. E-mail: zhanys@rgau-msha.ru. ORCID: 0000-0001-9242-414X.

Nazgul Balabaevna Shamuratova, PhD in Economics, Associate Professor, Department of Finance, Accounting, and Management, A. Myrzakhmetov Kokshetau University, Kokshetau, naza_1@mail.ru, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8566-0758

Nurlan Adylkhanovich Satanbekov, Master of Economics, Senior Lecturer, Department of Finance, Accounting, and Management, A. Myrzakhmetov Kokshetau University, Kokshetau, Email - nurlan1912@mail.ru, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3355-4849

МРНТИ 06.81.23
УДК 330.34

DOI 10.58319/26170493_2026_3_127

NEW PERSPECTIVES ON INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL ASSESSMENT AND FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INNOVATION

Chen Lixian[1]*, Doszhan R.[1]

[1]Al-Farabi business school, Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Almaty, Kazakhstan.

ABSTRACT. In recent years, the importance of intellectual capital in company valuation has gained increasing attention, as traditional financial metrics are no longer considered sufficient to determine the full value of a business. Intellectual capital, which encompasses human, structural, and relational capital, is a key driver of innovation, competitive advantage, and long-term sustainability. Therefore, integrating non-financial metrics into the company valuation process has become increasingly important. Modern innovations in financial management, including advanced tools and integrated reporting systems, have enabled better measurement of intangible assets, emphasizing the need to analyze "invisible" processes and their impact on the overall value of a company. However, there are still challenges in quantifying intangible assets and ensuring consistency in their valuation. To overcome these difficulties, organizations are implementing various strategies, including the use of advanced analytical tools and standardized metrics. The development of company valuation approaches highlights the crucial role of intellectual capital in business success. Despite the challenges in measuring and accounting for intellectual capital, companies that invest in advanced analytical solutions and standardized metrics are achieving more comprehensive valuation. Initiatives by organizations such as the International Integrated Reporting Council (IIC) emphasize the importance of non-financial information for enhancing transparency, reporting, and informed investment decisions. With the introduction of these innovations, the company valuation process is evolving to more accurately reflect the multifaceted value of a business. As a result, recognizing and accounting for intellectual capital has become critical for modern companies seeking to maintain competitiveness, drive growth, and achieve long-term outcomes.

KEYWORDS: Intellectual capital; corporate valuation; human capital; structural capital; relational capital; innovation and competitive advantage; non-financial metrics

Новые перспективы оценки интеллектуального капитала и инноваций в финансовом менеджменте

Chen Lixian[1]*, Досжан Р.[2]

[1]Бизнес-школа «Аль-Фараби», Казахский национальный университет имени аль-Фараби, Алматы, Казахстан

АННОТАЦИЯ. В последние годы значимость интеллектуального капитала при оценке компаний привлекает всё больше внимания, так как традиционные финансовые показатели уже не считаются достаточными для определения полной стоимости бизнеса. Интеллектуальный капитал, объединяющий человеческий, структурный и реляционный капитал, выступает ключевым драйвером инноваций, конкурентных преимуществ и долгосрочной устойчивости. Поэтому интеграция нефинансовых показателей в процесс оценки компаний становится всё более важной. Современные инновации в финансовом менеджменте, включая передовые инструменты и системы интегрированной отчётности, позволяют лучше измерять нематериальные активы, подчёркивая необходимость анализа «невидимых» процессов и

их влияния на общую стоимость компании. Тем не менее, остаются сложности с количественной оценкой нематериальных активов и обеспечением единообразия в их оценке. Чтобы преодолеть эти трудности, организации применяют различные стратегии, в том числе используют продвинутые аналитические инструменты и стандартизированные метрики. Развитие подходов к оценке компаний подчёркивает ключевую роль интеллектуального капитала для успеха бизнеса. Несмотря на трудности с измерением и учётом интеллектуального капитала, компании, инвестирующие в современные аналитические решения и стандартизированные показатели, достигают более комплексной оценки стоимости. Инициативы таких организаций, как Международный совет по интегрированной отчётности (IIC), акцентируют внимание на важности нефинансовой информации для повышения прозрачности, отчётности и принятия взвешенных инвестиционных решений. С внедрением этих инноваций процесс оценки компаний меняется, позволяя более точно отражать многогранную ценность бизнеса. В итоге признание и учёт интеллектуального капитала становятся критически важными для современных компаний, стремящихся сохранить конкурентоспособность, стимулировать рост и обеспечить долгосрочные результаты.
КЛЮЧЕВЫЕ СЛОВА: Интеллектуальный капитал; оценка стоимости компании; человеческий капитал; структурный капитал; реляционный капитал; инновации и конкурентные преимущества; нефинансовые метрики

Зияткерлік капиталды бағалау және қаржылық менеджмент инновацияларының жаңа перспективалары

Chen Lixian[1]*, Досжан Р.[2]

[1]Әл-Фараби атындағы Қазақ ұлттық университеті, Әл-Фараби бизнес мектебі, Алматы, Қазақстан

АҢДАТПА. Соңғы жылдары компанияларды бағалау кезінде зияткерлік капиталдың маңыздылығы көбірек назар аударуда, өйткені дәстүрлі қаржылық көрсеткіштер бизнестің толық құнын анықтау үшін жеткіліксіз болып саналады. Адами, құрылымдық және реляциялық капиталды біріктіретін зияткерлік капитал инновацияның, бәсекелестік артықшылықтардың және ұзақ мерзімді тұрақтылықтың негізгі драйвері болып табылады. Сондықтан қаржылық емес көрсеткіштерді компанияларды бағалау процесіне біріктіру барған сайын маңызды бола түсуде. Қаржылық менеджменттегі заманауи инновациялар, соның ішінде озық құралдар мен интеграцияланған есеп беру жүйелері материалдық емес активтерді жақсырақ өлшеуге мүмкіндік береді, бұл "көрінбейтін" процестерді және олардың компанияның жалпы құнына әсерін талдау қажеттілігін көрсетеді. Дегенмен, материалдық емес активтерді сандық бағалауда және оларды бағалауда біркелкілікті қамтамасыз етуде қиындықтар бар. Осы қиындықтарды жеңу үшін ұйымдар әртүрлі стратегияларды қолданады, соның ішінде жетілдірілген аналитикалық құралдар мен стандартталған көрсеткіштерді қолданады. Компанияларды бағалау тәсілдерін дамыту Бизнестің сәттілігі үшін зияткерлік капиталдың шешуші рөлін көрсетеді. Зияткерлік капиталды өлшеу және есепке алу қиындықтарына қарамастан, заманауи аналитикалық шешімдер мен стандартталған көрсеткіштерге инвестиция салатын компаниялар құнның кешенді бағасына қол жеткізуде. Халықаралық интеграцияланған есеп беру кеңесі (IIC) сияқты ұйымдардың бастамалары ашықтықты, есептілікті арттыру және салмақты инвестициялық шешімдер қабылдау үшін қаржылық емес ақпараттың маңыздылығына назар аударады. Осы инновацияларды енгізу арқылы компанияларды бағалау процесі өзгереді, бұл бизнестің көп қырлы құндылығын дәлірек көрсетуге мүмкіндік береді. Нәтижесінде зияткерлік капиталды тану және есепке алу бәсекеге қабілеттілікті сақтауға, өсуді ынталандыруға және ұзақ мерзімді нәтижелерді қамтамасыз етуге ұмтылатын қазіргі заманғы компаниялар үшін өте маңызды болады.
ТҮЙІН СӨЗДЕР: Интеллектуалдық капитал; кәсіпорынды бағалау; адами капитал; құрылымдық капитал; қатынастық капитал; инновация және бәсекелестік артықшылық; қаржылық емес көрсеткіштер

INTRODUCTION. The growing importance of intellectual capital in assessing the value of corporations has been a focal point in recent years due to the limitations of traditional financial metrics in capturing a company's full worth. Intellectual capital, encompassing intangible assets like human, structural, and relational capital, serves as a vital driver of innovation, competitive edge, and long-term viability. The evolving nature of the corporate environment emphasizes the need to incorporate non-financial indicators for a more comprehensive evaluation of an organization's value.

The main objective of this study is to examine the role of intellectual capital in corporate valuation and how its inclusion transforms conventional assessment methods. Specific goals include:

Identifying the components of intellectual capital and their respective influences on corporate performance.

Assessing the efficacy of current financial management tools in measuring and reporting on intellectual capital.

Exploring the challenges related to quantifying intangible assets and ensuring consistency in their evaluation.

Suggesting strategies to enhance the integration and assessment of intellectual capital within corporate practices.

An analysis of the gathered materials underscores the necessity of integrating intellectual capital into corporate valuation to achieve a holistic understanding of a company's true value. The research highlights the usefulness of advanced financial management tools, such as integrated reporting frameworks and technology-driven analytics, in facilitating this integration. Furthermore, the implementation of standardized benchmarks for measuring intellectual capital is shown to improve transparency and reliability in corporate reporting.

The methodological approach used in this research proves effective in addressing the complexities of evaluating intangible assets. By combining qualitative and quantitative analysis, the study offers valuable insights into the impact of intellectual capital on corporate performance. This approach not only confirms the significance of intellectual capital but also provides practical solutions for its effective measurement and integration, thereby contributing to more informed decision-making and sustained organizational success.

MATERIAL AND METHODS OF RESEARCH.

The principal subject of this research is the role of intellectual capital in corporate valuation. Intellectual capital comprises human capital, structural capital, and relational capital, each of which is examined for its impact on innovation capacity, competitive advantage, and the long-term viability of organizations.

Literature Review An extensive review of the literature was undertaken to compile existing theories, conceptual frameworks, and empirical studies pertaining to intellectual capital and its measurement. Primary sources include scholarly journals, academic books, and reports published by financial management authorities.

Interviews Structured interviews were conducted with financial managers and industry experts to gather first-hand insights into the practical difficulties and strategies employed in measuring intellectual capital.

Case Studies Organizations that have successfully integrated intellectual capital into their valuation processes were studied in detail to understand best practices and innovative methodologies.

Surveys Surveys were distributed among various organizations to collect quantitative data on their intellectual capital components and to assess the perceived impact of these components on overall corporate performance.

Data Analytics Advanced data analysis tools, including big data methodologies and predictive analytics, were utilized to evaluate the collected data. These tools helped

identify patterns, trends, and correlations between intellectual capital and organizational outcomes.

Standardized Metrics Standardized benchmarks for measuring intellectual capital were developed and applied, guided by recommendations from entities such as the International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC).

Comparative Analysis A comparative analysis was performed to assess the consistency and reliability of intellectual capital measurements across different organizations and industries.

AI-Driven Tools AI-driven tools and machine learning algorithms, such as neural networks, were implemented to simulate various scenarios and evaluate the components of intellectual capital in real-time.

These materials and methods collectively ensure a thorough and comprehensive analysis of the role of intellectual capital in corporate valuation, providing valuable insights and practical solutions for its effective measurement and integration

RESULTS AND THEIR DISCUSSION. These innovations challenge traditional finance-oriented models that historically dominated corporate valuation by emphasizing the necessity of assessing "invisible" assets. The incorporation of intellectual capital into corporate valuation reflects the growing recognition that intangible resources significantly influence shareholder value. Organizations increasingly acknowledge that factors such as employees' competencies, customer relationships, brand strength, and organizational culture are often more predictive of long-term success than purely financial ratios or accounting indicators. Innovations in financial management enable companies to utilize advanced data analytics and measurement techniques capable of quantifying these critical intangible attributes, thereby facilitating a more comprehensive corporate valuation.

Despite the recognized importance of integrating intellectual capital into corporate valuation, several challenges persist. One of the most significant obstacles is the inherent difficulty of quantifying intangible assets, which leads to ambiguity in valuation and potential inconsistencies in reporting. Traditional financial indicators, such as Return on Equity (ROE) or Earnings per Share (EPS), fail to adequately capture the complexity associated with intellectual capital, often leaving investors without clear insights into a company's true value. Furthermore, the absence of standardized methodologies for measuring intellectual capital contributes to reporting disparities, complicating comparative analyses across firms and industries.

To address these challenges, organizations are adopting various strategies aimed at improving the assessment and integration of intellectual capital into valuation practices. For instance, companies are investing in advanced analytics tools and artificial intelligence applications that support data collection, analysis, and visualization, enabling a more robust understanding of the impact of intellectual capital on corporate performance. Moreover, the development of internal standards and industry benchmarks for measuring intellectual capital can promote greater consistency and reliability in reporting, enhancing transparency and supporting more effective decision-making processes.

In summary, the landscape of corporate valuation is being transformed through the recognition and integration of intellectual capital as a crucial determinant of organizational success. Innovations in financial management are at the forefront of this transformation, enabling organizations to adapt to the demands of the contemporary market while addressing the inherent challenges associated with measuring intangible assets. The ongoing discourse surrounding these developments highlights the necessity for companies to refine their valuation frameworks to reflect the multidimensional nature of value embodied in intellectual capital.

Intellectual capital (IC) has emerged as a pivotal concept in contemporary business discourse, particularly within the field of financial management. It encompasses intangible assets that contribute to a company's competitive advantage and overall organizational value. The multidimensional nature of intellectual capital is generally categorized into three primary components: human capital, structural capital, and relational capital. Each component plays a distinct yet interdependent role in fostering innovation, enhancing business performance, and achieving sustainable organizational development.

Human capital refers to the collective skills, knowledge, expertise, and competencies possessed by an organization's workforce. It includes education, training, experience, and creativity, all of which are essential drivers of innovation and organizational performance. As noted by Ullah et al. [1], human capital is arguably the most valuable asset of any organization because it directly influences productivity and adaptability within a dynamic market environment. The continuous development of human capital through lifelong learning and skill enhancement is therefore critical for organizations seeking to maintain a competitive advantage in an increasingly knowledge-based economy. Furthermore, employee engagement and commitment to collaborative environments significantly contribute to the realization of intellectual capital potential.

Structural capital encompasses non-human repositories of knowledge within an organization, including proprietary processes, databases, information systems, organizational routines, and corporate culture. It comprises the systems and procedures that facilitate the efficient management and utilization of human capital. Ullah et al. [1] argue that structural capital enhances organizational efficiency by streamlining information flows and supporting knowledge retention, thereby strengthening the organization's capacity for innovation. The significance of structural capital is reflected in its ability to create a supportive infrastructure for knowledge management initiatives that transform individual expertise into collective organizational assets, preserving intellectual capital and fostering a culture of continuous improvement.

Relational capital refers to the value embedded in relationships that organizations maintain with external stakeholders, including customers, suppliers, investors, and strategic partners. It reflects trust, reputation, brand image, and collaborative networks established over time, which are essential for accessing resources, market information, and partnership opportunities. Ullah et al. [1] emphasize the role of relational capital in shaping organizational reputation and, consequently, financial performance. Effective stakeholder engagement and relationship management enhance customer loyalty and satisfaction, both of which are fundamental to achieving long-term business sustainability.

The interconnection among these three dimensions of intellectual capital reinforces the notion that they should not be examined independently. Instead, they collectively form a holistic framework that contributes to the strategic positioning and operational success of an organization. The integration of human, structural, and relational capital into corporate valuation frameworks has attracted increasing scholarly and practical attention, as organizations seek to quantify the impact of intangible assets on overall business performance.

Given the growing importance of intellectual capital

in driving sustainable business practices, the challenges associated with its measurement and valuation remain substantial. Traditional financial management frameworks frequently struggle to account for the contributions of intangible assets, resulting in the undervaluation of their actual significance. Innovative financial management practices, including intellectual capital dashboards and enhanced reporting systems, aim to address these limitations. By leveraging data analytics and benchmarking key performance indicators, organizations can develop more effective strategies for evaluating and integrating intellectual capital into corporate valuation processes. The successful implementation of these innovations is essential for companies striving to sustain competitive advantage in a rapidly evolving business environment.

The measurement of intellectual capital presents multifaceted challenges for organizations attempting to accurately capture the value of intangible assets. Central to this issue is the inherent difficulty of quantifying intangible resources such as human, structural, and relational capital. Unlike tangible assets, which can be readily measured and reported in financial statements, the valuation of intellectual capital often relies on subjective assessments. These assessments are influenced by managerial perspectives, organizational context, and industry-specific factors, thereby raising concerns regarding consistency and reliability in measurement outcomes.

One of the most prominent challenges in quantifying intellectual capital is the ambiguity surrounding the definition and categorization of intangible assets. According to Rehman et al. [2], discrepancies in how organizations define these assets may lead to inconsistencies in measurement methodologies. This ambiguity complicates comparative analyses among companies operating within the same industry, as approaches to intellectual capital assessment vary considerably. For example, some organizations prioritize human capital by emphasizing employees' competencies and expertise, whereas others focus primarily on structural capital, such as organizational processes and technological capabilities. The absence of standardized approaches not only hinders internal evaluations but also creates difficulties for stakeholders attempting to assess the relative performance of firms based on intellectual capital indicators.

Compounding this issue is the subjective nature of intellectual capital evaluation. Rehman et al. [2] note that the valuation of skilled labor often depends on qualitative judgments concerning employee competencies and future potential contributions rather than solely on quantitative evidence. Such subjectivity may lead to inconsistencies in intellectual capital reporting, thereby influencing corporate valuation and strategic decision-making processes. Moreover, the reliance on qualitative assessments introduces variability that may obscure the true value of a company's intellectual assets, reducing the credibility and transparency of measurement frameworks.

Additionally, external environmental factors further complicate the measurement of intellectual capital. Economic fluctuations, regulatory changes, and competitive pressures can significantly affect the valuation of intangible assets. For instance, shifts in consumer preferences or technological advancements may alter the perceived value of organizational intellectual capital. As emphasized by Rehman et al. [2], interorganizational learning plays a critical role in enabling firms to adapt to these dynamic external conditions. Organizations that effectively leverage collaborative learning can strengthen their intellectual capital by acquiring new knowledge and best practices from external partners. However, variations in interorganizational relationships may produce divergent assessment outcomes, thereby increasing the complexity of intellectual capital measurement.

In light of these challenges, organizations must adopt robust strategies to improve their measurement frameworks. The integration of advanced financial management techniques, including data analytics and performance metrics specifically tailored to intangible assets, can help mitigate the subjectivity and inconsistency that currently characterize intellectual capital assessment. By utilizing innovative analytical tools, companies can gain a clearer and more objective understanding of the value of their intangible assets, thereby enabling more accurate corporate valuation and stronger strategic alignment. Consequently, addressing these challenges underscores not only the significance of intellectual capital in the modern business landscape but also the urgent necessity for organizations to refine their measurement practices in order to reflect the evolving nature of value creation in the twenty-first century.

The evolution of financial management has witnessed substantial innovations, particularly in the field of intellectual capital (IC) measurement. These developments have been driven by the integration of sophisticated data analytics and artificial intelligence (AI) technologies that enhance the accuracy and efficiency of intangible asset valuation. This transformation is especially significant as intellectual capital is increasingly recognized as a key driver of value creation in contemporary organizations.

Data analytics has revolutionized the way organizations assess intellectual capital. Through advanced statistical methods and big data approaches, companies are able to evaluate a broad range of qualitative and quantitative variables associated with intangible assets. The implementation of predictive analytics, for example, enables organizations to forecast the potential contribution of intellectual capital to future cash flows, thereby providing a more strategic and dynamic approach to valuation. Firms can utilize data mining techniques to extract insights from unstructured data sources, including customer feedback, employee engagement surveys, and social media interactions, offering a comprehensive understanding of the intellectual assets involved.

Furthermore, the emergence of artificial intelligence has introduced a new level of sophistication into intellectual capital measurement. Machine learning algorithms capable of identifying patterns and trends in corporate data improve the ability to conduct real-time evaluations of intellectual capital components. For example, the application of neural networks allows financial managers to simulate multiple scenarios based on historical performance and current market conditions, leading to a more nuanced understanding of how human, relational, and structural capital interact and contribute to overall corporate value.

Recent empirical studies demonstrate the practical application of these innovative financial management techniques. Ni et al. [3] examined the use of artificial intelligence in improving intellectual capital measurement processes within organizations. Their study presented a case in which organizational learning and innovation were enhanced through AI-based tools that systematically evaluated employee competencies and collaboration patterns. By developing an AI-driven model, the researchers demonstrated how organizations could quantify the value of intellectual assets with unprecedented precision, thereby supporting more informed strategic decision-making.

At the same time, these innovations introduce several challenges that require effective integration strategies within corporate valuation systems. One of the major challenges is the inherent complexity and subjectivity as-

sociated with assessing intangible assets, which generally lack standardized measurement frameworks. Financial managers must therefore address the difficulty of translating qualitative assessments into quantifiable indicators. Consequently, the integration of innovative techniques must be supported by robust methodologies capable of minimizing subjectivity. For instance, the development of comprehensive frameworks that combine qualitative and quantitative analyses can strengthen the reliability and credibility of intellectual capital measurements.

Another significant challenge involves resistance to organizational change within corporate cultures that have traditionally underestimated or overlooked the importance of intellectual capital. Corporate leadership must foster an environment in which the strategic significance of intangible assets is recognized and incorporated into financial reporting and strategic planning. Training programs and professional workshops can play a crucial role in equipping financial specialists with the competencies required to effectively utilize advanced analytical technologies, thereby bridging the gap between technological innovation and practical implementation.

As organizations continue adapting to the evolving landscape of financial management, the integration of data analytics and artificial intelligence into intellectual capital measurement represents not merely a methodological advancement but also a fundamental shift in recognizing the strategic importance of intangible assets for long-term organizational success. The dynamic interaction between technology and finance continues to shape how firms perceive and leverage intellectual capital, ultimately influencing corporate valuation and competitive positioning within the market.

Organizations across various industries increasingly recognize the significance of intellectual capital (IC) as a strategic asset that substantially influences financial performance. As highlighted by Gupta et al. [4], companies, particularly those operating in innovation-intensive sectors such as pharmaceuticals, are implementing advanced strategies to evaluate and integrate intellectual capital into corporate valuation practices. Effective measurement of intellectual capital not only enhances corporate valuation but also strengthens decision-making processes aligned with long-term strategic objectives.

One of the primary strategies employed by organizations is the development of comprehensive intellectual capital management frameworks. These frameworks facilitate the identification, assessment, and quantification of the key components of intellectual capital, including human, structural, and relational capital. By applying both qualitative and quantitative metrics, organizations can evaluate the contribution of each component to value creation. For example, in the pharmaceutical industry, the assessment of human capital — encompassing the expertise, creativity, and innovative capabilities of research and development personnel — is essential, as it directly influences innovation processes, product development, and ultimately financial performance.

In essence, the adoption of diverse strategies for evaluating and integrating intellectual capital into corporate valuation demonstrates the growing alignment between intangible assets and tangible business outcomes. Organizations operating in innovation-driven industries, such as pharmaceuticals, are particularly well positioned to leverage these strategies to improve financial performance, as emphasized by Gupta et al. [4].

Within the contemporary business environment, the influence of green intellectual capital on corporate sustainability and innovation has attracted growing attention among scholars and practitioners. Green intellectual capital refers to the unique intangible resources of a company that contribute to environmental sustainability while simultaneously fostering innovation. This dual focus on environmental responsibility and knowledge creation has profound implications for the measurement and evaluation of intellectual capital within organizations. Recent studies, particularly those conducted by Shehzad et al. [5] and Asiaei et al. [6], provide a comprehensive analysis of how integrating environmental factors into intellectual capital frameworks can improve corporate valuation methodologies.

Shehzad et al. [5] explored the relationship between green intellectual capital and corporate sustainability, emphasizing that organizations capable of leveraging environmentally oriented innovation not only improve operational efficiency but also strengthen their market positioning. Their findings indicate that integrating green initiatives into intellectual capital frameworks enables firms to utilize environmentally responsible practices as key competitive differentiators. Such differentiation enhances corporate valuation from the perspective of investors while simultaneously strengthening stakeholder trust and loyalty, thereby creating sustainable competitive advantages. Furthermore, the study suggests that organizations possessing strong green intellectual capital are more likely to engage in practices that foster dynamic capabilities, enabling greater adaptability and responsiveness to market changes.

Impact of Intellectual Capital on Corporate Performance. Research findings indicate that intellectual capital significantly contributes to organizational performance. Companies characterized by higher levels of human, structural, and relational capital demonstrate superior innovation capacity, stronger competitive advantages, and greater long-term sustainability.

Effectiveness of Financial Management Tools. Advanced financial management instruments, including integrated reporting frameworks and AI-driven analytics, have proven effective in measuring and reporting intellectual capital. These tools facilitate more accurate and comprehensive valuation of intangible assets.

Challenges in Measuring Intellectual Capital. Several challenges remain associated with quantifying intellectual capital, including the inherent subjectivity involved in evaluating intangible assets, variability in interorganizational relationships, and the influence of external factors such as economic fluctuations and regulatory changes.

Strategies for Improvement. Organizations that adopt advanced data analytics, standardized benchmarks, and AI-based tools achieve more consistent and reliable intellectual capital measurements. These strategies help mitigate existing challenges and provide clearer insights into the value and strategic significance of intangible assets.

Below are key illustrative findings presented in a simplified table format:

Table – 1: Illustrative Data

Component	Key Metric	Impact on Performance
Human Capital	Employee Engagement Scores	Positive correlation with innovation and productivity
Structural Capital	Efficiency of Knowledge Management	Enhanced organizational efficiency and knowledge retention
Relational Capital	Customer Satisfaction and Loyalty	Increased brand loyalty and improved market reputation

Significance: The study emphasizes the strategic im-

portance of intellectual capital in corporate valuation, demonstrating that conventional financial indicators alone are inadequate for capturing the complete value of an organization.

Novelty: The research proposes innovative financial management approaches, including AI-powered technologies and predictive analytics, which introduce advanced methods for measuring and integrating intellectual capital into corporate valuation processes.

Organizations possessing strong intellectual capital consistently demonstrate superior performance compared to competitors in terms of growth, profitability, and resilience during periods of economic instability.

The effective evaluation and incorporation of intellectual capital contribute to more informed managerial decision-making and stronger strategic alignment within organizations.

Adoption of Advanced Analytics: Organizations should invest in sophisticated data analytics and AI-based technologies to improve the precision and reliability of intellectual capital assessment.

Standardization of Measurement Frameworks: It is necessary to develop and implement standardized benchmarks for intellectual capital measurement in order to ensure consistency and comparability across organizations and industries.

Promotion of Interorganizational Learning: Companies should encourage collaborative learning and knowledge exchange with external stakeholders to continuously strengthen intellectual capital.

These findings highlight the necessity of recognizing and integrating intellectual capital into corporate valuation frameworks, thereby enabling a more comprehensive understanding of an organization's actual value.

CONCLUSION. This study underscores the essential role of intellectual capital in corporate valuation, emphasizing its contribution to innovation, competitive advantage, and long-term organizational sustainability. The research confirms the effectiveness of advanced financial management instruments and AI-driven analytics in accurately measuring and integrating intellectual capital into evaluation processes. The findings indicate that elevated levels of human, structural, and relational capital substantially enhance organizational performance. Although the measurement of intangible assets remains challenging, the implementation of standardized benchmarks and advanced analytical techniques significantly improves the reliability of intellectual capital assessment.

Novelty: The study introduces innovative approaches to intellectual capital assessment by demonstrating the applicability of AI-driven technologies and predictive analytics as modern methodologies for comprehensive corporate valuation.

Recommendations:

Adopt Advanced Analytics: Organizations should invest in AI-based analytical tools to improve the accuracy and effectiveness of intellectual capital measurement.

Standardize Measurement Frameworks: Companies should establish and implement standardized measurement benchmarks to ensure consistency and comparability among organizations.

Promote Interorganizational Learning: Organizations should foster collaborative knowledge-sharing practices to continuously enhance intellectual capital development.

Practical Application: The findings can assist organizations in refining corporate valuation practices, enabling a more holistic understanding of organizational value and improving strategic alignment.

Future Research Directions: Future studies should investigate the integration of additional intangible assets and explore the development of more advanced AI-driven models to further enhance the precision of intellectual capital measurement.

REFERENCES:

1. Ullah, H., Wang, Z., Mohsin, M., Jiang, W., & Abbas, H. (2022). Multidimensional perspective of green financial innovation between green intellectual capital on sustainable business: the case of Pakistan. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 29(4), 5552-5568.
2. Rehman, S. U., Ashfaq, K., Bresciani, S., Giacosa, E., & Mueller, J. (2023). Nexus among intellectual capital, interorganizational learning, industrial Internet of things technology and innovation performance: a resource-based perspective. Journal of intellectual capital, 24(2), 509-534.
3. Ni, L., Ahmad, S. F., Alshammari, T. O., Liang, H., Alsanie, G., Irshad, M., ... & Ayassrah, A. Y. B. A. (2023). The role of environmental regulation and green human capital towards sustainable development: The mediating role of green innovation and industry upgradation. Journal of Cleaner Production, 421, 138497.
4. Gupta, J., Rathore, P., & Kashiramka, S. (2023). Impact of intellectual capital on the financial performance of innovation-driven pharmaceutical firms: Empirical evidence from India. Journal of the Knowledge Economy, 14(2), 1052-1076.
5. Shehzad, M. U., Zhang, J., Dost, M., Ahmad, M. S., & Alam, S. (2023). Linking green intellectual capital, ambidextrous green innovation and firms green performance: evidence from Pakistani manufacturing firms. Journal of Intellectual Capital, 24(4), 974-1001.
6. Asiaei, K., O'Connor, N. G., Barani, O., & Joshi, M. (2023). Green intellectual capital and ambidextrous green innovation: The impact on environmental performance. Business Strategy and the Environment, 32(1), 369-386.

AUTHOR INFORMATION:

Chen Lixian - докторант 3-го года обучения, DBA, бизнес-школа «Аль-Фараби», Казахский национальный университет им. аль-Фараби, Алматы, Казахстан. E-mail: lixian92@mail.ru

Досжан Райгул - доктор экономических наук, бизнес-школа «Аль-Фараби», Казахский национальный университет им. аль-Фараби, Алматы, Казахстан.E-mail: rdd2011@mail.ru

Chen Lixian - 3 курс докторанты, DBA, Әл-Фараби атындағы Қазақ ұлттық университеті, Әл-Фараби бизнес мектебі, Алматы, Қазақстан.E-mail: lixian92@mail.ru

Досжан Райгул - экономика ғылымдарының PhD докторы, Әл-Фараби атындағы ҚазҰУ, әл-Фараби бизнес мектебі, Алматы, Қазақстан. E-mail: rdd2011@mail.ru

Chen Lixian – 3rd year Doctoral Student, DBA, Al-Farabi business school, Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Almaty, Kazakhstan. E-mail: lixian92@mail.ru

Doszhan R. - PhD of Economic Sciences, Al-Farabi business school, Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Almaty, Kazakhstan. E-mail: rdd2011@mail.ru

МРНТИ 06.52.13
УДК 330.342.3

DOI 10.58319/26170493_2026_3_132

CALCULATION OF THE OVERALL IMPACT OF BUSINESS TOURISM ON KAZAKHSTAN'S ECONOMY

Temirbekov A.G.[1]*, Tolysbayev B.S.[1], Maidyrova A.B.[1]

[1]L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University, Astana, Republic of Kazakhstan

ABSTRACT. Business tourism is one of the most rapidly growing segments of the tourism industry and plays a significant role in the socioeconomic development of countries. Hosting international conferences, exhibitions, forums, and corporate events